**Reviewer Template for the Saryn Journal**

1. The name of the reviewed paper:

2. How would you rate the significance (topicality and novelty) of this research and conclusions by this paper?

3. Is the document well structured, with a statement of the issue or issues that need to be resolved at the very beginning of the text, with the presentation and development of consistent arguments and with a full conclusion?

4. Does the author demonstrate knowledge of other works in the subject area and mention them appropriately?

5. Are all the tables, diagrams, figures, scores, transcriptions and photographs necessary and explanatory for the text?

6. Does the paper meet the standards of academic style and scholarly conventions expected in an international scholarly journal?

7. Do you have any other comments that would help the editor decide whether to publish the article?

8. Please choose one of the following recommendations (**bold** the text):

— Publish the paper with at most only minor revision (provide details in item 9)

— Publish the paper only after substantial revision (provide details in item 9)

— Reject the paper for publication (provide details in item 9)

— Accept the paper for publication (provide details in item 9)

9. Please provide details.

10. If you chose the first or second solution, is a re-review necessary and do you agree to re-evaluate the article after the revisions?